UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,856	07/03/2003	James D. Webb	P-8888.05	4405
27581 MEDTRONIC,	7590 11/20/200 INC.	8	EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE		MANUEL, GEORGE C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,856	WEBB ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George Manuel	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-26 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-22 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8 and 23-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to Applicant's assertion that Njemanze does not disclose or suggest a portal interface, Niemanze discloses the system can be programmed to automatically access the Internet 14 and use the "File Transfer Procedure" (FTP) to move files containing information that may include spectral data, microembolic signal rate, patient personal data, equipment serial number and lot number to the attending physician or emergency medical service personnel. Conversely, the attending physician 15 can alter the program of the system including pump bolus dose discharge rate, insonation depth, new artifact exclusion criteria, and even review records on microembolic signal rate before and after each drug delivery regimen.

Applicant argues that the procedures disclosed in Njemanze could include merely moving files via the Internet "to a physician or medical personnel" via sending an email with an attachment to a physician. This is equivalent to what is disclosed in Applicant's specification, "If there are tachy-triggered events the device information network for example, IHM 100, will notify the designated person, for instance a nurse, doctor or health provider electronically that events have occurred by email, pager or other means." See paragraph 56.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Art Unit: 3762

(i.e., a protocol for moving files) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The possibility that failure of cerebrovascular flow mechanisms may not be accompanied by a fall in mean arterial blood pressure does not teach away from including blood pressure monitoring. Since strokes are known to be caused by high blood pressure, the disclosure in Njemanze supports the argument for monitoring blood pressure in that failure of cerebrovascular flow mechanisms may be accompanied by a rise in mean arterial blood pressure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Njemanze (US 6,468,219).

Njemanze discloses a transcranial Doppler ultrasound device adapted to be surgically implanted in the body of a patient. Transducer 16 monitors the hemodynamic

conditions of the patient. The system can be programmed to automatically access the Internet 14 and use the "File Transfer Procedure" (FTP) to move files containing information that may include spectral data, microembolic signal rate, patient personal data, equipment serial number and lot number to the attending physician or emergency medical service personnel.

Regarding claim 6, the examiner is interpreting a blood flow measurement to comprise a measurement that relates to pulmonary pressure and heart rate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 8 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Njemanze (US 6,468,219).

Njemanze shows all of the claimed features except for measuring blood pressure values or enabling access to various zones including a dedicated public zone, confidential zone and a private zone.

While Njemanze does not specifically mention measuring blood pressure values, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to adapt the blood flow

Art Unit: 3762

monitoring to include blood pressure monitoring because blood pressure is a function of blood flow through a vessel and because blood pressure is a critical factor in monitoring a patient's susceptibility to stroke.

Page 5

Regarding claims 8 and 24, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to enable access to various zones or pages including a dedicated public zone or section, confidential zone or physician section and a private zone or patient section because Njemanaze teaches restricted Internet access may be accomplished via specified websites that ensure strict compliance with the ethical codes implicated in the patient care services.

Regarding claim 25 and 26, monitor 11 provides a display for the physician to view cardiac pressure trend data.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,856 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

/George Manuel/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762